



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/791,502

03/02/2004

Fred R. Kramer

P32690A USA

1896

29880

7590

04/07/2009

FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
PRINCETON PIKE CORPORATE CENTER
2000 Market Street
Tenth Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103

EXAMINER

PANDE, SUCHIRA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1637

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/07/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/791,502	Applicant(s) KRAMER ET AL.	
	Examiner SUCHIRA PANDE	Art Unit 1637	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SUCHIRA PANDE. (3) Vyacheslav Vasilyev.

(2) Teresa Strzelecka. (4) Gerard Norton.

Date of Interview: 01 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art cited in OA mailed on October 22, 2008 does not teach coding the beads with molecular beacons. Hence the existing rejections will be withdrawn and new search will be conducted focussing on coding scheme to see if there is any teaching or suggestion in prior art to use coding scheme based on FRET before a patentability decision can be made.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Suchira Pande/ Examiner, Art Unit 1637	
--	--